Learning-Based Optoelectronically Innervated Tactile Finger for Rigid-Soft Interactive Grasping

Linhan Yang^(D), Xudong Han^(D), Weijie Guo, Fang Wan, Jia Pan^(D), and Chaoyang Song^(D)

Abstract—This letter presents a novel design of a soft tactile finger with omni-directional adaptation using multi-channel optical fibers for rigid-soft interactive grasping. Machine learning methods are used to train a model for real-time prediction of force, torque, and contact using the tactile data collected. We further integrated such fingers in a reconfigurable gripper design with three fingers so that the finger arrangement can be actively adjusted in real-time based on the tactile data collected during grasping, achieving the process of rigid-soft interactive grasping. Detailed sensor calibration and experimental results are also included to further validate the proposed design for enhanced grasping robustness. Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ynCfSA4FQnY.

Index Terms—Grasping, optical fiber, tactile sensing, soft robotics.

I. INTRODUCTION

D ATA-DRIVEN grasp learning has been a research field of growing interest in the past decade [1] with many literature contributing to the use of computer vision for grasp prediction [2]–[4], high-resolution tactile sensing [5], [6], and advanced gripper design [7], [8]. Many dataset have been published to support grasp learning using computer vision [3],

Manuscript received October 15, 2020; accepted February 13, 2021. Date of publication March 11, 2021; date of current version March 30, 2021. This letter was recommended for publication by Associate Editor M. Gauthier and Editor D. Popa upon evaluation of the reviewers' comments. This work was supported in part by the Science, Technology and Innovation Commission of Shenzhen Municipality under Grant ZDSYS20200811143601004, in part by the National Science Foundation of China (51905252), in part by the Shenzhen Institute of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics for Society Open Project (AC01202005003), in part by the Shenzhen Long-term Support for General Project, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Human-Augmentation and Rehabilitation Robotics in Universities, Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, 518055, China, and in part by AncoraSpring Inc. (Corresponding author: Chaoyang Song.)

Linhan Yang is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, and also with the Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China (e-mail: 11950013@mail.sustech.edu.cn).

Xudong Han and Weijie Guo are with the Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China (e-mail: 11812519@mail.sustech.edu.cn; 11510615@mail.sustech.edu.cn).

Fang Wan is with the SUSTech Institute of Intelligent Manufacturing, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China (e-mail: sophie.fwan@hotmail.com).

Jia Pan is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (e-mail: panjia1983@gmail.com).

Chaoyang Song is with the Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China (e-mail: songcy@ieee.org).

This article has supplementary downloadable material available at https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2021.3065186, provided by the authors.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LRA.2021.3065186



UR10e Robot

Fig. 1. Overview of the proposed system design with omni-adaptive tactile fingers for rigid-soft interactive grasping learning. Left shows the system integration of a reconfigurable gripper with the proposed tactile fingers on a UR10e robot. Right shows the workflow of the proposed rigid-soft interactive grasping strategy.

[9], model-based synthetic methods [4], [10], or direct grasp trials [2], [11]. However, vision is not the only part of the stimuli humans rely on while manipulating objects. Tactile information plays an important role when humans are executing dexterous manipulation, especially when visual information is not precise or fully available [12]. Recent research on using vision sensors for tactile sensing [5], [6] leverages material properties such as transparency and softness to capture refined detail of physical interaction. Proprioception is another way to collect tactile information to infer data of physical interaction between the finger and the objects, where soft finger with compliant materials is often considered as a feasible choice of design [13], [14].

This letter proposes a real-time policy for robust grasping, as shown in Fig. 1, using tactile data collected from a novel design of optoelectronically innervated tactile finger for the rigid-soft interaction between rigid objects and soft fingers.

A. Soft Robotic Fingers and Grippers

Robotic fingers and grippers with a soft design have shown great potentials in grasping [15]. By leveraging material softness, they usually feature passive compliance [16]–[18] and underactuation [7], [19], [20], leading to a simple control during grasping. Inspired by the Fin-Ray Effect, [16] proposed a novel

2377-3766 © 2021 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See https://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html for more information. compliant lattice-structure fingers to enhance grasp robustness and has been widely used in [16], [21], [22]. Most of these grippers have only one actuator, and the adaptive nature of such finger design can quickly achieve form closure of the target object. Grippers with reconfigurable finger patterns is another solution to enhance grasping robustness. Dollar *et al.* [7], [19] proposed a series of the under-actuated grippers, which could reconfigure between power-grasping, spherical-grasping, and lateral-grasping models. However, all the control algorithms of different grasping models are open-loop and hard-coded, which may not be applicable for unstructured interaction.

B. Proprioceptive Sensing

Stretchable sensors such as soft resistive and soft optoelectronic ones have been embedded in soft robotic fingers to sense the interaction [13], [14], [23]–[25]. Zhao *et al.* [23] proposed a prosthetic hand using optical waveguides for strain sensing, which could be used to sense the shape and softness of selected objects. Meerbeek *et al.* [13] presented an elastomeric foam with 30 optical fibers internally illuminated. They trained this foam sensor system with a machine learning method to detect bending and twisting. Thuruthel *et al.* [14] proposed a human-inspired soft pneumatic actuator with embedded soft resistive sensors for real-time modeling of its kinematics using recurrent neural networks. A review of the above research shows the great potentials of the soft fingers and grippers with proprioception. However, it remains a challenge to apply these novel designs to robotic grasping or dexterous manipulation.

C. Proposed Method and Contributions

This letter is a continuation of our earlier work with the omni-adaptive soft finger for rigid-soft interaction learning [17], finger configuration learning [18], and optical fiber-based grasp sensing [26]. In this letter, we propose a sensorized design of the omni-adaptive soft finger using multiple optical fibers embedded with friction enhanced soft surface. Tactile data such as normal force, torque, and contact position can be learned based on the proposed design, which is further integrated into a reconfigurable gripper to achieve rigid-soft interactive grasping with enhanced robustness. The contributions of this letter are listed as the following:

- Proposed an integrated design of the omni-adaptive soft finger with enhanced finger surface and multi-channel optical fiber for proprioceptive, tactile sensing.
- Investigated a detailed characterization and calibration of the tactile finger's sensing capability using machine learning.
- Achieved real-time sensing of multiple tactile data, such as normal force, torque, and contact position, with the proposed finger design.
- 4) Integrated the proposed tactile finger in a reconfigurable, three-finger gripper that could grasp and adapt to the target object online based on real-time tactile data.

In the rest of this letter, Section II explains the problem formulation of rigid-soft interactive grasping and proposed method. Section III conducts sensor calibration through machine learning. Experimental results and discussion are enclosed in section IV and V. Final remarks are enclosed in section VI.

II. METHOD

A. Problem Formulation

The research problem of interest is investigating the potentials of tactile sensing for robust grasp learning by leveraging soft robot designs with optoelectronic sensing. We organize this research by building upon our earlier research on a soft robotic finger design with omni-directional adaptation [17], [18], [26].

- We first discovered the potentials of rigid-soft interactive learning with such finger design, where grasp training could be achieved using much less data when data of soft finger picking up rigid objects are fused in the training dataset [17].
- We then explored the optimal number and arrangement of soft fingers for robotic gripper using a learning-based method and found that three-finger configuration with a centric arrangement is among best ones [18].
- Recently, we further improved the soft finger design by embedding optical fibers inside to enable scalable tactile sensing for object classification during grasping [26].

In this letter, our goal is to optimize grasp quality by adjusting the finger configuration online based on the real-time tactile information collected from the soft fingers. The contact between the gripper and the object during interaction is assumed as planar. We simplified this problem by discretizing the contact plane into several or a single point. The single finger contact model and multi-finger grasping model are discussed, respectively.

1) Contact Model of a Single Finger: We assume that 1) the grasped object is light such that its weight is neglected in the balancing equation, and 2) the torques along the X- and Y-axis are neglected for their little influence on the overall grasping performance.

In the simplified single finger case illustrated in Fig. 2, the contact force is discretized as $F = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n)$. In the X-Z cross section shown in Fig. 2(a), the resultant force of contact is capable of transmitting a normal force component $F_x > 0$, a frictional force component F_z and a balancing torque component T_y along Y-axis, which is neglected under our assumptions. In the X-Y cross section shown in Fig. 2(b), the resultant contact force is capable of transmitting a normal force component $F_x > 0$, a frictional force F_y and a balancing torque component T_z along Z-axis.

According to Coulomb friction model, when object is grasped, we have:

$$||F_t|| \le \mu F_n, v = 0 \tag{1}$$

where μ is the friction coefficient and v is the sliding velocity of object. If the sliding velocity is zero, then the magnitude of the tangential friction force is less than or equal to μ times the normal force, which is non-negative. As shown in Fig. 2, the normal force $F_n = F_x$ and the tangential frictional force



Fig. 2. Schematic of a single finger contact in (a) X-Z cross section and (b) X-Y cross section.



Fig. 3. Schematic of grasp model. Upper: Conventional configuration. All with circular configuration; Bottom: Interactive grasping which transition between different configuration to conform the surface of objects (d) Circular Configuration; (e) Lateral Configuration; (f) Parallel Configuration. Note: F_T denotes force provided by actuator which is a constant and same notation is adapted at each contacts.

 $F_t = F_y + F_z$. Then we get:

$$||F_y + F_z|| \le \mu F_x, v = 0$$
(2)

2) Grasp Model of Multiple Fingers: Based on our earlier research [18], different number and arrangement of fingers significantly influence the grasping outcome and robustness of the gripper. For example, when the gripper has three fingers, we can model its grasping with three contact areas, with one for each finger, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

According to the Newtonian mechanics equilibrium equation, when sliding velocity v = 0, we have:

$$\sum_{i} F_{n,i} + \sum_{i} F_{t,i} + F_{ext} = 0,$$
(3)



Fig. 4. The design and fabrication process of the optoelectronically innervated soft tactile finger: (a) finger frame; (b) finger frame with the fibers (orange transparent material is used instead to visualize the transparent fibers clearly in the figures); (c) finger frame with silica gel skin; (d) we pull out the optic fiber to leave a cavity in the middle of finger; (e) fabrication process of the black silica gel skin; (f) the cavity in the sensitive area.

and

$$\sum_{i} T_{z,i} + T_{ext} = 0 \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \tag{4}$$

From Eq. (1), we have

$$||F_{t,i}|| \le \mu F_{n,i} \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \tag{5}$$

B. Optoelectronically Innervated Soft Tactile Finger

In our recent work [26], we leveraged the structural space within our earlier finger design [17], [18] by introducing optical fibers inside the soft structure to achieve tactile sensing for a limited object classification. In this letter, we systematically improved the finger design, as shown in Fig. 4, with much enhanced sensor reliability, tactile capability, and grasping robustness. Major enhancement include

- Added finger surface for enhanced contact friction while preserving its omni-directional adaptation;
- Multi-channel optical fingers on the main side of grasping with an integrated design and enhanced tactile sensing;
- Enhanced fabrication process for improved reliability and consistence performance with reduced cost and complexity.
- Maintained compatibility with unstructured environmental changes as before, even with the enhanced design features.

In this new finger design, five optical fibers form a sensor array inside the newly introduced finger surface and the soft finger structure to measure the finger deformation during grasping, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The luminous flux loss correlates to the soft finger's curvature, which makes the optical fiber a feasible choice to obtain the soft finger's deformation. Five LEDs are placed at the transmitting ends of the optical fibers as the luminous transmitter, and five photoresistors are placed at the receiving ends to estimate the output luminous intensity. To reduce the ambient light's impact and get enough luminous flux, we chose 520-525NM LEDs and 520-550NM photoresistors, whose wavelength segments are more concentrated and matching. The



Fig. 5. CAD model of gripper: (a) three fingers each with two degrees of freedom, (b) three different configurations for grasping.

result is a significant increase in the signal-to-noise ratio for the required sensing.

Moreover, the array of optical fibers were placed on the finger framework and covered with a layer of skin made of black silica gel for isolation from the environment, as shown in Fig. 4(c), contributing to the increased signal-to-noise ratio. We further left a cavity in the middle segment of the contacting surface where the major deformation occurs to improve the optical fiber's sensitivity [24]. As shown in Fig. 4(d)(f), each one of the five optical fibers was cut into two segments and is discontinued at the cavity segment highlighted in Fig. 4(f).

The fibers we used are commercial optical fibers of soft PMMA manufactured by EverhengFiber. The skin is made of Smooth-On EcoflexTM 00-30 silica gel, whose strength is suitable for our purpose. However, this silica gel is originally a milky white translucent liquid, which is later mixed with black pigment at a ratio of 20:1 to block the ambient light effectively. Moreover, the silica gel skin is set 3 mm away from the finger's outer surface to increase the finger surface texture and enhance the grasping effect. Fig. 4(e) shows the details of the black silica gel skin's fabrication process.

Let I_0 denotes the baseline luminous intensity without any deformation. With the current output luminous intensity I, the luminous flux loss in decibels through the optical fiber is then described as

$$a = 10\log_{10}(I_0/I) \tag{6}$$

By this definition, the output loss a is 0 without deformation and less than 0 when interacting with the environment.

C. Reconfigurable Gripper Design of Three Fingers

Building on our earlier results regarding the optimal number and arrangement of fingers for robotic grippers [18], we designed a three-finger gripper for this study, as shown in Fig. 5(a). Each finger has two Degree-of-Freedoms (DoFs), with one (ROBOTIS Dynamixel XM320) actuating the finger's opening and closure, and the other (ROBOTIS Dynamixel MX64AT) redirects the fingers' orientation about the Z-axis. Both actuators feature a fully integrated motor with relatively low price in a compact form factor and are daisy-chained to a 12 V power supply. High-level Python API provided by Dynamixel SDK is used for communication between the gripper hardware and host computer, providing information on the current position, the goal position, and the torque limit for adjusting the finger's stiffness.

As shown in Fig. 5(b), this gripper exhibits three-finger configurations: 1) Circular Configuration (i.e., three fingers circularly arranged, facilitating the grasp of a spherical object); 2) Lateral Configuration (i.e., two fingers symmetrically arrange with the third one placed such that the proximal joint axis is on the mid-plane of the others, facilitating more precise grasping); and 3) Parallel Configuration (two fingers on the same side and parallel to the third finger on the other side, facilitating the grasp of cylindrical objects). Such a gripper can adapt to various geometric features of the target objects using the three typical configurations.

D. Rigid-Soft Interactive Grasping

The objective is to find a robust grasp policy that maintains the equilibrium equation under external disturbances. We assume a constant $F_{n,i}$ with no external disturbance,

$$\sum_{i} F_{t_0,i} = -\sum_{i} F_{n_0,i} \tag{7}$$

Then, the anti-disturbance ability could be defined as the minimum of F_{ext} , which could break the equilibrium state:

$$F_{ext} = \max \sum_{i} F_{t,i} - \sum_{i} F_{t_0,i} \tag{8}$$

where max $F_{t,i} = \mu F_{n,i}$. To maximize anti-disturbance ability, we should minimize $F_{t_0,i}$. and maximize $F_{n,i}$.

As shown in Fig. 3, when grasping with a conventional configuration,

$$F_n = F_T \cos \theta, \tag{9}$$

where F_T is the constant force provided by actuator and θ is the angle between F_T and the normal direction. During this process, the soft finger is usually twisted and F_T is decomposed, which causing a smaller F_n and a larger F_t .

Grasping with an interactive configuration actively adapted to the surface of the target objects. During the adjustment, F_n is increased to F_T and F_t is decreased, which is precisely the objective of our optimization policy. In the actual grasping, minimizing twist means minimizing T_z by changing the gripper configuration to adapt to the object surface. This optimization process is shown in Algorithm 1, called Rigid-Soft Interactive Grasping.

III. CALIBRATION

A. Data Collection

We push the soft finger with a 3D-printed indenter in the sensitive area, where the major deformation occurs, as shown in Fig. 6. Ten contact points along the horizontal direction were selected, and the feed amount was 10 mm at most for each contact point. Contact point (p_{index}) , feed amount (m_{index}) , intensity of the transmitted light $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$, force and torque information (F_n, T_z) were gathered in this process. Force and

Algorithm 1: Rigid-Soft Interactive Grasping.					
Input: Initial Configuration θ_i , Torque $T_{z,i}$, Decay Rate					
γ , Threshold δ , Finger ID $i = 1, 2, 3$.					
Output: Optimized Configuration $\hat{\theta}_i$.					
1: Obtain Initial Configuration θ_i , Torque $T_{z,i}$					
$\leftarrow InitialGrasp$					
2: function Grasp Optimization $\theta_i, T_{z,i}$					
3: parallel for each finger do					
4: while $T_{z,i} > \delta$ do					
5: $\theta_i = \theta_i - \gamma * T_{z,i}$					
6: end while					
7: end for					
8:					
9: return Optimized Configuration $\hat{\theta}_i$					
10: end Function					

torque data were collected from an OnRobot HEX-E v2 mounted on the soft finger's bottom. We collected 1000 data points, and the scatter plots are shown in Fig. 6. The force range is from 0 to 6 N, and torque is from -0.05 to 0.05 Nm. In addition to the force and torque prediction, these data could also be interpreted to infer the horizontal direction's contact position.

Since our tapered fingers have structural asymmetry in the vertical direction, the bend occurs more efficiently when the force is applied to the middle segment of the finger. Under constant normal force, the finger's deformation varies as the contact point moves along the vertical direction. Therefore, we could identify the contact position in the vertical direction under a constant normal force. To achieve this goal, we gathered another set of 100 data points at ten contact points along the vertical direction with the same normal force of $3^{\sim}N$.

B. Force and Torque

To infer the force and torque information, we used the Machine Learning method [27] instead of deriving a theoretical model since the latter would be rather tricky due to the complexities of the soft sensor. We chose four widely used regression models, namely Linear model, Decision Tree, Random Forest, and SVM, as our candidates. The dataset of 1000 data points is split into a training dataset of 800 data points and a test dataset of 200 data points. First, we trained the selected four models with the training dataset, respectively. Then, 5-fold cross-validation was used to evaluate the performance and to avoid over-fitting [28]. Finally, the well-trained models were tested on the test dataset. The meta parameters of the models were selected using the gird search toolbox provided by scikitlearning.

We used the commonly used metric, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), to measure the accuracy of trained models. RMSE is the standard deviation of the residuals, reflecting the model's accuracy. And the equation is

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^i - \hat{y}^i)^2}$$
(10)



Fig. 6. Data collection and a summary of collected data. Top: Data collection setup; Bottom: histogram and scatter plots of the calibration experiment data.

The results are shown in Table I. SVM is the best model for force prediction and the meta parameters are kernel: rbf, C: 1000.0, and gamma: 0.1. The best model for torque prediction is Random Forest, and the meta parameters are bootstrap: False, max features: 2, and n estimators: 100.

C. Contact Position

We used the same dataset and models for predicting the contact position in the horizontal direction as the force prediction. For that in the vertical direction, we used the dataset of 100 samples to train and test the four models. The results in Table II show that random forest models perform best for both directions. The Metaparameters of the best model for horizontal direction are bootstrap: False, max features: 3, n estimators: 100. The

TABLE I FORCE AND TORQUE PREDICTION RESULTS OF THE OPTOELECTRONICALLY INNERVATED TACTILE FINGER

	RMSE*	Linear	Tree	Forest	SVM
Force	Baseline	0.534	0.004	0.082	0.166
	Cross Validation	0.541	0.331	0.225	0.196
	Test Dataset	NA**	NA	0.201	0.168
Torque	Baseline	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.021
	Cross Validation	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.021
	Test Dataset	NA	NA	0.002	0.021

* Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), whose expression is shown as Eq. (10).

** Not available, unnecessary.

TABLE II Contact Position Prediction Results of the Optoelectronically Innervated Tactile Finger



Fig. 7. Performance prediction as the number of the optic fibers in the soft sensor reduces.

Metaparameters for vertical direction are bootstrap: False, max features: 5, n estimators: 3.

D. Optimal Number of Optical Fibers

To examine the relationship between the number of the optic fibers and the prediction performance, we experimented using only a subset of the five features (intensities of the five optic fibers). We calculated each feature's importance to the final prediction using the forests of trees toolbox and removed the features in a sequence order from less important to more important. Importance of the five features $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ are 0.254, 0.055, 0.199, 0.353, 0.139 for force prediction and 0.359, 0.152, 0.065, 0.113, 0.309 for torque prediction. The impacts of the feature reduction on the model performance are shown in Fig. 7.

IV. EXPERIMENT

A. Real-Time Tactile Sensing & Prediction

To test the models' generalization capability, we controlled the robot arm to push the soft finger randomly and predicted the force, torque, and contact position in real-time. Note that the contact point candidates were randomly selected in the continuous range along the horizontal direction instead of 10



Fig. 8. Real-time prediction of the force, torque, and contact position. Red line: Prediction value; Green line: Ground truth provided by the OnRobot F/T Sensor.

discrete points as in the calibration procedure. Similarly, the feed amounts were randomly selected in a continuous range. The results of the real-time prediction are shown in Fig. 8.

B. Rigid-Soft Interactive Grasping

We applied our soft sensor to a simple grasping process. Three identical soft fingers were fabricated and calibrated. Algorithm 1 is used in the grasping experiment. First, an initial grasp was conducted with a default circular configuration. The fingers interacted with the target objects, and deformations occurred. The fingers sensed the deformations, which were interpreted to infer force and torque information. The gripper adjusted its configuration with a decay rate of γ (10 000 is used in this letter) based on the tactile information and adapted to the target object's shape. This adjustment process was repeated until the soft finger's torque, namely the twist of deformation, is less than a threshold (0.002 is used in this letter). Finally, the grasp configuration was optimized, and the robot would try to pick the object up. The target objects were fixed on the table so that we could examine the grasp robustness. The force along the vertical direction while the robot attempted to pick up the object was recorded. A larger value of the vertical force indicates enhanced grasping robustness. The experiment setup is shown in Fig. 9(a). The conventional grasping with the default circular configuration was also conducted as a benchmark.



Fig. 9. Comparison between the conventional grasping (left) and interactive grasping (right) for four objects. Conventional grasping provides (b) 12.9 N for sphere, (c) 4.8 N for cube, (d) 8.1 N for cuboid, and (e) 9.9 N for cylinder. Interactive grasping provides (b) 13.0 N for sphere, (c) 9.0 N (108% increase) for cube, (d) 13.1 N (61.7% increase) for cuboid, and (e) 15.8 N (59.6% increase) for cylinder.

Four objects with standard geometry shapes, namely sphere, cube, cuboid, and cylinder, were used to validate our hypothesis. Gripper was actuated in current-based operation control mode, which means the actuator's torque was constant during the experiment. The results of the comparison between conventional grasping and interactive grasping are shown in Fig. 9(b)&(e).

V. DISCUSSION

A. Sensor Calibration for the Proposed Soft Tactile Finger

The histogram and scatter plots of the calibration data are shown in Fig. 6. From the histogram, we could have an overall look at the dataset, including the ranges and distributions of the calibration data. From the scatter plots, we can see a strong positive linear correlation between feed amount (m_{index}) and normal force (F_x) , though it is also influenced by the location of the contact point (p_{index}) . The sign of torque (T_z) is decided by whether the contact point is located at the left or right part of the finger. Light intensities $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ have strong nonlinear relations with Force and Torque. In Fig. 6, we just plot two of them (a_1, a_2) as examples due to the space limitation.

Given that the OnRobot Force/Torque sensor has a rough resolution (0.2 N for force and 0.001Nm for torque) [29], our optoelectronically innervated tactile finger has shown a satisfactory performance on the force and torque predictions with the best model's RMSE less than 0.2 N and 0.0025Nm, respectively. For the force prediction, the decision tree model performs best on the baseline, while the cross-validation result shows it is over-fitted. SVM achieves the best performance on the test dataset and is chosen to predict forces in the real grasp experiment. For the torque prediction, the decision tree model also performs best on the baseline but suffers from over-fitting. SVM performs surprisingly bad. Overall the random forest model performs best and is chosen to predict torque in the real grasp experiment.

We treat the contact position as a regression problem despite that the data we collected is discrete. The results in Table II shows our model can generalize on unknown areas. The results of real-time prediction shown in Table I also proved it. When predicting the vertical contact position, we push the soft finger with a constant normal force and get similar horizontal direction performance. It may be used in slippery detection when a gripper grasp using a constant force.

In the real-time prediction experiment, force, torque, and contact prediction are predicted simultaneously. Fig. 8 shows that the prediction has a low delay and closely follows ground truth. However, the hysteresis effect is observed when predicting force, probably due to the soft silicone gel's low rebound speed.

Fig. 7 demonstrates the relationship between the number of optic fibers and prediction performance. Generally speaking, the prediction performs worse as the number of optic fibers reduces. In the future, we may further lower the prediction error by increasing the number of optic fibers.

B. Towards Rigid-Soft Interactive Grasping

Generally speaking, the results shown in Fig. 9(b) indicate a considerable improvement in robustness of grasping using the proposed interactive policy versus conventional open-loop policy, except for the sphere. The reason is that circular configuration is already the most feasible configuration for the sphere. As a result, our interactive policy will not make any difference in grasping robustness.

However, for cuboid or cylinder, a parallel configuration is preferred. Although the circular configuration could also pick up some light objects, the actual contacting area is very limited since two of the three fingers contact the object with a line. Such grasping is not robust, especially when the object is heavy or some disturbance occurs. The results in Fig. 9(c)&(d) show the resistance force is increased by about 60% (from 8.1 N to 13.1 N for cuboid and from 9.9 N to 15.8 N for a cylinder.

The lateral configuration is most feasible for cubic objects since the object is too small to use three fingers. Gripper with two parallel fingers is enough for grasping, and the left one could be used for in-hand manipulation such as pivoting. However, this will involve the force distribution of multi-finger gripper, which is not the letter's scope. In this letter, we focus on single finger optimization and, therefore, improve the final grasp. As a result, the grasping performance is increased by 108% (from 4.8 N to 9.0 N).

In summary, our gripper performs configuration transition between circular configuration, lateral configuration, and parallel configuration according to the target objects' shapes. More than that, our gripper could adapt any irregular objects based on the finger deformation.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this letter presents a novel optoelectronic innervated gripper capable of sensing its soft fingers' deformations, which are further interpreted to infer the force and torque information of each finger using machine learning methods. Also, we propose a grasp optimization policy based on real-time force and torque estimation, closing the loop of grasping control. During the optimization progress, the configuration of the gripper's base is adjusted online. As a result, we could achieve better and more robust grasps that could resist interference.

This work is a preliminary exploration of an online grasp optimization based on the soft fingers' real-time sensor data. In the future, we would like to investigate further our soft finger sensor's design for better performance, a more in-depth discussion of the optimization progress, and further exploration of multi-sensory information system [30], [31].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to express our thanks to Xiaobo Liu, Ning Guo for their kind help and advice on experiment design and video presentation.

REFERENCES

- J. Bohg, A. Morales, T. Asfour, and D. Kragic, "Data-driven grasp synthesis—A survey," *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 289–309, Apr. 2014.
- [2] L. Pinto and A. Gupta, "Supersizing self-supervision: Learning to grasp from 50 k tries and 700 robot hours," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Automat.*, 2016, pp. 3406–3413.
- [3] I. Lenz, H. Lee, and A. Saxena, "Deep learning for detecting robotic grasps," *Int. J. Robot. Res.*, vol. 34, no. 4-5, pp. 705–724, 2015.
- [4] J. Mahler et al., "Learning ambidextrous robot grasping policies," Sci. Robot., vol. 4, no. 26,2019, Art. no. eaau4984,.
- [5] W. Yuan, S. Dong, and E. H. Adelson, "GelSight: High-resolution robot tactile sensors for estimating geometry and force," *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 12, 2017, Art. no. 2762,.
- [6] A. Yamaguchi and C. G. Atkeson, "Combining finger vision and optical tactile sensing: Reducing and handling errors while cutting vegetables," in *Proc. IEEE-RAS 16th Int. Conf. Humanoid Robots*, 2016, pp. 1045–1051.
- [7] R. Ma and A. Dollar, "Yale openhand project: Optimizing open-source hand designs for ease of fabrication and adoption," *IEEE Robot. Automat. Mag.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 32–40, Mar. 2017.

- [8] S. Yuan, A. D. Epps, J. B. Nowak, and J. K. Salisbury, "Design of a rollerbased dexterous hand for object grasping and within-hand manipulation," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Automat.*, 2020, pp. 8870–8876.
- [9] F.-J. Chu, R. Xu, and P. A. Vela, "Real-world multiobject, multigrasp detection," *IEEE Robot. Automat. Lett.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 3355–3362, Oct. 2018.
- [10] J. Mahler *et al.*, "Dex-Net 2.0: Deep learning to plan robust grasps with synthetic point clouds and analytic grasp metrics," in *Proc. Robot.: Sci. Syst.*, Cambridge, MA, USA, Jul. 2017
- [11] S. Levine, P. Pastor, A. Krizhevsky, J. Ibarz, and D. Quillen, "Learning hand-eye coordination for robotic grasping with deep learning and largescale data collection," *Int. J. Robot. Res.*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 421–436, 2018.
- [12] N. Fazeli, M. Oller, J. Wu, Z. Wu, J. B. Tenenbaum, and A. Rodriguez, "See, feel, act: Hierarchical learning for complex manipulation skills with multisensory fusion," *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 4, no. 26, 2019.
- [13] I. M. Van Meerbeek, C. M. De Sa, and R. F. Shepherd, "Soft optoelectronic sensory foams with proprioception," *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 3, no. 24, 2018.
- [14] T. G. Thuruthel, B. Shih, C. Laschi, and M. T. Tolley, "Soft robot perception using embedded soft sensors and recurrent neural networks," *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 4, no. 26, 2019.
- [15] J. Shintake, V. Cacucciolo, D. Floreano, and H. Shea, "Soft robotic grippers," Adv. Mater., vol. 30, no. 29, 2018, Art. no. 1707035.
- [16] W. Crooks, S. Rozen-Levy, B. Trimmer, C. Rogers, and W. Messner, "Passive gripper inspired by manduca sexta and the fin ray effect," *Int. J. of Adv. Robotic Syst.*, vol. 14, no. 4, 2017.[Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/1729881417721155.
- [17] L. Yang et al., "Rigid-soft interactive learning for robust grasping," IEEE Robot. Automat. Lett., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1720–1727, Apr. 2020.
- [18] F. Wan, H. Wang, J. Wu, Y. Liu, S. Ge, and C. Song, "A reconfigurable design for omni-adaptive grasp learning," *IEEE Robot. Automat. Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 4210–4217, Jul. 2020.
- [19] L. U. Odhner et al., "A compliant, underactuated hand for robust manipulation," Int. J. Robot. Res., vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 736–752, 2014.
- [20] R. Deimel and O. Brock, "A novel type of compliant and underactuated robotic hand for dexterous grasping," *Int. J. Robot. Res.*, vol. 35, no. 1-3, pp. 161–185, 2016.
- [21] J. Hashizume, T. M. Huh, S. A. Suresh, and M. R. Cutkosky, "Capacitive sensing for a gripper with gecko-inspired adhesive film," *IEEE Robot. Automat. Lett.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 677–683, Apr. 2019.
- [22] C. I. Basson and G. Bright, "Geometric conformity study of a fin ray gripper utilizing active haptic control," in *Proc. IEEE 15th Int. Conf. Control Automat.*, 2019, pp. 713–718.
- [23] H. Zhao, K. O'Brien, S. Li, and R. F. Shepherd, "Optoelectronically innervated soft prosthetic hand via stretchable optical waveguides," *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2016.
- [24] D. Z. Stupar, J. S. Bajic, L. M. Manojlovic, M. P. Slankamenac, A. V. Joza, and M. B. Zivanov, "Wearable low-cost system for human joint movements monitoring based on fiber-optic curvature sensor," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 3424–3431, Dec. 2012.
- [25] T. G. Zimmerman, "Optical Flex Sensor," Sep. 17, 1985, US Patent 4542291.
- [26] Z. Yang, S. Ge, F. Wan, Y. Liu, and C. Song, "Scalable tactile sensing for an omni-adaptive soft robot finger," in *Proc. 3rd IEEE Int. Conf. Soft Robot.*, 2020, pp. 572–577.
- [27] F. Pedregosa *et al.*, "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python," J. Mach. Learn. Res., vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, 2011.
- [28] R. Kohavi, "A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection," in *Proc. 14th Int. Joint Conf. Artif. Intell.*, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, Aug. 1995, pp. 1137–1143.
- [29] "HEX-E/H QC data sheet," Odense SØ, Denmark: OnRobot A/S, [Online]. Available: https://onrobot.com/sites/default/files/documents/Datasheet_ HEX_QC_v1.1_EN%20%281%29.pdf.
- [30] Q. Zhu et al., "Safety-assured design and adaptation of learning-enabled autonomous systems," in Proc. 26th Asia South Pacific Des. Automat. Conf., 2021, pp. 753–760.
- [31] Y. Wang, C. Huang, and Q. Zhu, "Energy-efficient control adaptation with safety guarantees for learning-enabled cyber-physical systems," in *Proc.* 39th Int. Conf. Comput.-Aided Des., 2020, pp. 1–9.